# Jay B. Jones, Ph.D. DABCC



Dr. Jones has served as the **Director**, Geisinger Regional Laboratories since 1985 and the **Director**, Ancillary Testing **Program for Geisinger Medical** Center's Division of Laboratory Medicine since 1992. Concurrently, he has also held the position of Director, Chemistry and Toxicology since 1981.

Process Improvement for Critical and Point of Care Testing (CPOCT): A "Lean" Perspective.

Jay B. Jones, PhD DABCC Director, Regional Labs and Chemistry Geisinger Health System Danville, Pennsylvania



"Lean" for Process Improvement (2 Examples)

- Accessible enterprise POC Prothrombin time (PT-INR) testing to avoid strokes (e.g. "Coag Clinics")
- 2) Highly efficient and integrated enterprise whole blood/blood gas testing to support CV Surgery (e.g. paperless, wireless, IGO)

# Organized EHR & LIS in the Geisinger Enterprise





- \$80M+ spent on EHR (EpicCare)
- WAN routers connect to Data Center and "Rack & Stack" Virtual Client Servers (including SunQuest)
- > 28 CS apps from Lab alone



# What is "Lean"

- Process efficiency defined and practiced by Toyota, Japan
- Value stream mapping (removing waste)
- Process mapping from test(s) ordering to integrating the test result(s) into practice
- Improving the test process in terms of time, people, materiel, quality, outcome value
- Regarded as a method to cut costs

# POCT vs. Core Lab "Lean"

#### Patient centric

- Starts when the patient enters the door
- (Pre-, Post-) Analytical concurrent
- Single piece flow
- "Real-time" to treatment
- On the spot clinically

# Specimen centric Starts when the specimen enters the lab

- (Pre-, Post-)
  Analytical sequenced in "legs"
  - Batched
- "Requeing" required for treatment
- Remote clinically

# POCT vs. Core Lab "Lean"

- Test acuity is driver to POC (ABGs, PT-INR)
- Specimen prep is driver to Core Lab
- Turnaround time is driver to POC
- Instrument sophistication is driver to Core Lab
- Expense assessed for total cost to treatment may drive to POCT (<u>total process and total</u> value stream mapping)

- 10. POCT consumes less paper and less space storing paper
  - No specimen labels
  - No work lists
  - No requisitions
  - No instrument printouts
  - Etc.

- 9. POCT performed on "fresh" patient specimen without processing of tube(s)
  - No specimen tube (assuming it's the right one)
  - No centrifuge (space, noise, maintenance)
  - Fewer processing artifacts (temperature, changes with transport & storage time)
  - Closer to <u>in vivo</u>

8. POCT is mobile and easily deployable

- Can move with clinical service
- Can be shared between services & operators
- Good backup system(s) for multiple locations
- Can travel with patient (e.g. ECMO)
- Rapid implementation and training

- 7. POCT is less of a biohazard
  - Specimen contained in test element
  - POCT goes into isolation environment; specimen doesn't come out
  - Less unused specimen to landfill or incinerator
  - No broken tubes or aerosols

6. POCT consumes less patient specimen

- Most of the specimen is wasted in even
  3 mL tubes
- Blood conservation key in neonates
- Blood conservation being considered more for all patients

- 5. POCT improves turnaround time (TAT)
  - Focus on problem areas (e.g. ED)
  - Can be used selectively (e.g. trauma cases but not general ED)
  - TAT on POCT device typically the analytical time (no need to account)
  - POCT often only option because of logistics

4. POCT is less expensive in many situations

- Improves patient compliance & hence lessens costly adverse outcomes
- Saves processing time & resources in lab
- Look for expensive clinic time savings (e.g OR time)
- Clinic and patient may enjoy the "bang" for the lab's buck

3. POCT less likely to produce a medical error

- Patient physically scanned (few mis-IDs)
- Operator physically scanned
- Few if any handoffs of requests/results
- Critical results not delayed or lost
- Medical procedures safeguarded (e.g. creatinine with interventional radiology)

2. POCT saves provider time & effort

- Less queuing up of previous patient encounter
- Less CRT look up time & distraction
- Less brain drain to associate lab results to clinical situation
- More efficient clinical response

- 1. POCT enables integration of testing into clinical flow & clinical judgment
  - "choreography" into clinical process
  - More likely to influence treatment
  - Impact on clinical outcome amplified
  - Immediacy and proximity makes POCT a clinical tool like a stethoscope

# Example 1 – Geisinger Health System "Coag Clinics"

- ▶ 9000+ Active Patients; 30,000+ Total Patients
- 15+ locations staffed by 22 FTE pharmacists;
  CLIA certificates owned by System Lab
- ~14,000+ Encounters per month
- 1.53 encounters per patient per month
- > 200 300 new patients per month
- >2% per month growth rate
- 70% of INR's within Therapeutic Range

## 7–10 Minute Patient "Coag Clinic" Visit

- Patient Registers in lobby("Check in" at Kiosk)
- Pharmacist Sees Appt in EpicCare EHR
- Pharmacist Greets patient in waiting area
- Pharmacist Chats, gets patient history, Finger sticks
- Pharmacist matches patient "story" with PTINR result
- Pharmacist presents card with PTINR result, dose adjustment, next appt schedule to patient
- Any other questions? Bye.

# Touch and Swipe Registration Kiosks in Lobbies

http://www.geisinge r.org/locations/const /gw/my\_visit/mv\_we lcome.html



# **Regional Anticoagulation Clinics**

- 10 CLIA certificates
- Pharmacy does PTINR
- Lab billing/purchasing
- LIS connectivity
- Pharmacy tracks utilization & outcome





### "Lean" Tends to be Visual

#### mune sole you get what your auctor prescines.

lmg	2mg	2.5mg	3mg	4mg	5mg	6mg	7.5mg	10mg
Contraction of the second	000 V	1 000 1	C Contraction	CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR O	(113	Contraction of the second		

For your protection, tablets are clearly marked with the COUMADIN<sup>®</sup> (Warfarin Sodium Tablets, USP) Crystalline name and dosage strength to help avoid confusion with your other medications.

\*COUMADIN (Warfarin Sodium), the COUMADIN color logo, COLORS OF COUMADIN, and the color and configuration of COUMADIN tablets are trademarks of Bristol-Myers Squibb Company. Any unlicensed use of these trademarks is expressly prohibited under the U.S. Trademark Act.

GHS WESTERN REGION ANTICOAGULATION CLINIC APPOINTMENT							
DATE: TIME:							
TO CHANGE APPOINTMENT CALL (717) 242-4275 MONDAY THRU FRIDAY 8:00 AM - 5:30 PM							
COUMADIN DOSE							
SUN.	Mon.	TUE.	WED.	THU.	FRI.	SAT.	
mg	mg	mg	mg	mg	mg	mg	
tablets	tablets	tablets	tablets	tablets	tablets	tablets	
RESULTS FROM							
#A-750-213-F Rev. 11/07js INR (GOAL)							

# Patients carry out next Appointment, Coumadin Dose, & PT-INR with goal



# **Incidence of Adverse Events**

Comparison of GHS data with literature

		Reference	<b>Usual Practice</b>	GHS Non-
	<b>GHS</b> Clinics	Anticoagulation	(non-clinic	Clinic Patients
	(1)	Clinics (2)	Patients)*	(3)
Rate of Bleeding	8.67%	15.30%	35.30%	17.10%
Rate of				
Thromboembolic				
Events	1.54%	3.60%	11.80%	20.60%

(1) Based on 2004-2009 GHS Anticoag data-total of 8847 patients on continous therapy Incidence of Events per patient per year

(2) Bungard TJ, Gardner L, Archer SL. Evaluation of a pharmacist-managed anticoagulation

(3) Based on 2009 GHS data - total of 307 patients on continous therapy

# Drug Therapy Compliance 2003

- "Coag Clinic" patient compliance

   average compliance with warfarin
   therapy = 82.3%
  - Comparison <50%
  - 57.5% of patients had compliance rates of 90% or greater
    - Comparison <20%

### **Stroke Prevention**

- 3117 patients were actively managed on anticoagulation therapy during calendar year 2009, with a diagnosis of A-Fib
- For each every 33 A-fib patients on anticoagulation therapy 1 stroke per year is avoided
- 94 potential strokes avoided during 2009

# **Stroke Prevention**

- Cost per Acute Stroke approximately \$12,000 for initial event
  - \$1,128,000 annual cost avoidance
- Ongoing care costs are approximately \$3500 per patient per year
  - \$329,000 per patient per year cost avoidance
- Cost avoidance associated with stroke prevention more than pays for annual cost of the program

# Lab's Role in "Coag Clinic"

- Provide/maintain instruments
- QC/PT/CLIA regulatory compliance
- Result reported through LIS to EHR, with billing of outpatient CPT revenue to lab
- Lab highly regarded senior leadership as providing integral patient service at POC
- Pharmacy gets most of the credit and truly values and trusts the lab



# ABGs and Whole Blood Chemistries in the CV OR

- Anecdotal "15 minute TAT" from surgeons
- Traditionally tracked In-Lab 2.5 min. TAT
- Observational "lean" process mapping in OR/lab
- TAT study confirmed 15 min. TAT

- Process improvements designed & prototyped
- Information Technology updates being implemented
- Rolling out process improvements to Enterprise

# Lean Process Study "Kaisons"

- 15 min. TAT correct!
- CV OR clerical tasks distracting; need GPS model
- Perfusionists need to stay with pump; POCT distracting
- IT solutions needed (e.g. IGO)
- Tube system inconsistent
- CV OR has enterprise team
- 5 min "Vein to Brain" Aim

Components of Turnaround Time from "Vein to Brain" (V to B)"

A. CV-OR (min:sec) 1) Specimen Collection 2) Test Ordering 3) Results Receipt	<u>Mean</u> 1:48 1:44 3:54	<u>Minimum</u> 0:35 0:53 0:59	<u>Maximum</u> 3:30 3:05 6:23				
Total "V to B" TAT	15:23	12:12	22 :16				
B. Stat Lab (min:sec)							
1) Specimen Receipt	1:41	0:31	3:41				
2) Specimen Testing	0:36	0:20	1:16				
3) Result Reporting	1:37	0:45	4:24				
Total "In Lab" TAT	2:36	1:19	5:36				
C. Pneumatic Tube (min:sec)							
1) Derived Transport Time	4:08	1:40	9:55				

# Efficient, Safe Order Entry



#### 1. Patient Barcode



2. Syringe Barcode



3. Operator Barcode





IVD Industrial Connectivity Consortium (IICC) www.ivdconnectivity.org

- Similar to Connectivity Industrial Consortium (CIC) that created POCT1-A
- Funded by top 7 instrument vendors
- Adopted specifications (i.e. HL7 2.x, IHE, CLSI, etc) for interoperability
- Architecture to include instrument generated orders (IGO) similar to POC instruments (instruments become "smarter")

# **Conclusion:**

- 1) POCT is innately "Lean"
- 2) "Coag Clinics" are a prime example of a "Lean" process improving economic & clinical outcomes
- 3) "Lean" study of enterprise lab support of clinical services will produce improved efficiency (e.g. CV-surgery)
- 4) "Leaning" processes around information systems will continue as a prime lab objective